R v Day

BACKGROUND

On 27 February 2012 asonJonGarrels a general labourewas

In reaching her decision, Magistrate Bald**too**k into account mitigating factors which included a lack of previous recorded prosecutions for any electrical or workplace health and safety breach, cooperation with investigative authorities, and an danguilty plea.

CROWN SUBMISSIONS V DAYSENTENCING

At the sentencing of Mr Day,odd Fuller QC, counsel for the prosecution, sec9n2 68drr Fin

longer sentence would haven the client Thisinvolved writtentestimony regardingD Œ Ç[• previous depression ansulicidal attemptsdue to his ‰ Œ deăth[in a motor vehicle accident in 2008 that went to inquest Mr Day displayed remorse and shame perjuring in an inquest The (v μ• D Œ Ç[• ‰ Œ À]}μ• Æ ‰ Œ] v • v P P Œ] À ‰ ŒšÇ] Additionally, it was submitted that Mr Day was given advice by his solicitor to be honest and consistent with his testimony. The defence claimed that Mr Day had no clear understanding of this advice as he